
CHAMBERS GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDES

Medical Cannabis & Cannabinoid Regulation 2023

Definitive global law guides offering
comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

Portugal: Law & Practice

Eduardo Nogueira Pinto, Eliana Bernardo
and Ricardo Rocha
PLMJ



PORTUGAL



Law and Practice

Contributed by:

Eduardo Nogueira Pinto, Eliana Bernardo and Ricardo Rocha
PLMJ

Contents

1. Legal/Regulatory Framework p.4

- 1.1 Source of Regulations p.4
- 1.2 Regulatory Authorities p.5
- 1.3 Self-Regulation p.5
- 1.4 Key Challenges p.5
- 1.5 Level of Regulation p.7
- 1.6 Legal Risks p.9
- 1.7 Enforcement p.9

2. Cross-Jurisdictional Issues p.11

- 2.1 Cross-Jurisdictional Standards p.11

3. Future Developments p.11

- 3.1 Legal Elements Affecting Access to Medical Cannabis p.11
- 3.2 Use of Non-controlled Cannabinoids in Food p.11
- 3.3 Decriminalisation or Recreational Regulation p.12

Contributed by: Eduardo Nogueira Pinto, Eliana Bernardo and Ricardo Rocha, **PLMJ**

PLMJ is a law firm based in Portugal that combines a full service with bespoke legal craftsmanship. For more than 50 years, it has taken an innovative approach that has produced strategic solutions to effectively defend the interests of its clients. The firm supports its clients in all areas of the law, often with multidisciplinary teams, and always acting as a business partner in decision-making processes. **PLMJ** has specialist lawyers that know the markets they work in well, and who always keep in close contact

with sector regulators. The firm created **PLMJ Colab**, a collaborative network of law firms spread across Portugal and other countries with which it has cultural ties. **PLMJ Colab** provides a concerted response to the international challenges of its clients; international co-operation is ensured through firms specialising in the legal systems and local cultures of Angola, Cabo Verde, China/Macau, Guinea-Bissau, Mozambique, São Tomé and Príncipe and Timor-Leste.

Authors



Eduardo Nogueira Pinto is a **PLMJ** partner who heads the healthcare, life sciences and pharmaceuticals practice. He has 20 years' experience in advising Portuguese and foreign

companies, and has worked on many projects in the areas of healthcare and pharmaceuticals. Eduardo focuses on regulatory matters, licensing, compliance, advertising, prices and reimbursements, contracts and market access. He has a law degree from the Faculty of Law of Universidade Católica Portuguesa.



Eliana Bernardo is managing associate in **PLMJ**'s healthcare, life sciences and pharmaceuticals practice, and has more than 15 years' experience in legal practice. She

acts for Portuguese and multinational companies in the industries, distribution and retail sale of medicines, medical devices, food supplements, and cosmetics and personal hygiene products. Eliana assists her clients with licensing, prior medical assessments, reimbursement and revision of medicine prices, compliance and controlled substances. She also has experience in administrative litigation. Eliana completed a postgraduate course in Pharmacy and Medicines Law at the Faculty of Law of the University of Coimbra. In 2020, Eliana won the prestigious "Forty under 40 – Lawyer of the Year" award, in the category of Life Sciences & Pharma, from the magazine Iberian Lawyer.

Contributed by: Eduardo Nogueira Pinto, Eliana Bernardo and Ricardo Rocha, **PLMJ**



Ricardo Rocha is a senior associate in PLMJ's healthcare, life sciences and pharmaceuticals practice and has more than five years' experience. He focuses on

providing regulatory advice to Portuguese and international companies in the pharmaceutical industry. He assists his clients with all matters relating to the development and marketing of medicines, medical devices, food supplements and cosmetics. Ricardo has a Master's degree in Legal-Business Sciences from the Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon, and completed a postgraduate course in Healthcare Law at the Faculty of Law of the Universidade Católica Portuguesa.

PLMJ

Av. Fontes Pereira de Melo, 43
1050-119
Lisboa
Portugal

Tel: +351 213 197 300
Fax: +351 213 197 400
Email: plmjlaw@plmj.pt
Web: www.plmj.com/en/



Transformative
Legal Experts

1. Legal/Regulatory Framework

1.1 Source of Regulations

The main rules on the activities regarding controlled substances in Portugal are set forth by the following laws and regulations:

- Decree Law No 176/2006 of 30 August (“Medicines for Human Use”), which establishes the general legal framework for the obtaining of a marketing authorisation (MA) for medicines for human use, including medicines based on the cannabis plant;
- Decree Law No 15/93 of 22 January (DL 15/93), which establishes the Anti-Drug Law and contains the main rules regarding all the activities related to drugs and controlled substances, including for medicinal purposes;
- Regulatory Decree No 61/94 of 12 October (RD 61/94), which develops the legal regime of DL 15/93 regulating the practical aspects of the activities related to controlled substances, such as the procedures to obtain the relevant authorisations to develop activities related to these substances, including cannabis for medicinal purposes;
- Law No 33/2018 of 18 July (the “Medical Cannabis Law”), which allows for the use of medicines, preparations and substances based on the cannabis plant for medicinal purposes, and establishes that such medicines, substances and preparations can only be dispensed in pharmacies;
- Decree Law No 8/2019 of 15 January (DL 8/2019), regulating and developing the applicable legal regime established by the Medical Cannabis Law and clarifying some aspects that were not provided by the Medical Cannabis Law, including the terms and conditions under which the authorisation for placement in the market (ACM) can be issued to a preparation or substance based on the cannabis plant;
- Ordinance No 83/2021 of 15 April (“Ordinance 83/2021”), which sets forth the requirements and procedures on the granting and maintenance of authorisations for the exercise of activities related to the cultivation, manufacture, wholesale trade, transport, circulation, importation and exportation of medicines, preparations and substances based on the cannabis plant;
- Ordinance No 44-A/2019 of 31 January (“Ordinance 44-A/2019”), which establishes the price regime for cannabis-derived preparations and substances for medicinal purposes;
- Decree Law No 97/2015 of 1 June 2015 (DL 97/2015), establishing the National Evaluation System of Health Technologies (SiNATS), which is subsidiary, applicable to the price aspects not provided by Ordinance 44-A/2019 and also applicable to the price aspects for medicines based on the cannabis plant;
- Resolution No 11/CD/2019 of 31 January 2019 of the board of directors of INFARMED, which establishes the therapeutical indications which can be treated with cannabis-derived products; and
- Resolution No 010/CD/2019 of 31 January 2019 of the board of directors of INFARMED, which establishes the regulation for monitoring the safety of preparations and substances of the cannabis plant.

The Portuguese legal framework regarding controlled substances has as its basis the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 and the Convention on Psychotropic Substances signed in Vienna in 1971, ratified by the Portuguese State.

As mentioned, the cannabis plant as used for medical purposes has a specific legal regime in Portugal, establishing the rules applicable to the cultivation, manufacture, importation, exportation, wholesale and sale of medicines, preparations and substances based on the cannabis plant as used for medical purposes. The existence of such a specific framework for cannabis-related products for medical purposes implies that both DL 15/93 and RD 61/94 only became applicable as subsidiary regulation to those matters which are not expressly foreseen in the Medical Cannabis Law, DL 8/2019 and Ordinance 83/2021.

1.2 Regulatory Authorities

The regulatory body for enforcing the laws and regulations on cannabis and cannabinoids for medical purposes in Portugal is INFARMED – the National Authority of Medicines and Health Products, I.P. (*Autoridade Nacional do Medicamento e Produtos de Saúde, I.P.*).

INFARMED is part of the State's indirect administration and is endowed with administrative and financial autonomy. It is responsible for carrying out the responsibilities of the Ministry of Health under the supervision and guidance of the Minister of Health.

Concerning cannabinoids, other than for medicinal purposes, the competent authority is the General Directorate of Agriculture and Veterinary – *Direção Geral de Alimentação e Veterinária* (DGAV). The DGAV is responsible for issuing the authorisations for the commercialisation of food supplements and for the surveillance of this market. The DGAV is part of the State's direct administration and operates under the Ministry of Agriculture. The DGAV is responsible for:

- the definition, implementation and evaluation of food safety;
- animal protection, animal health, plant protection and plant health policies; and
- the functions of national veterinary and phytosanitary health authority, veterinary medicines and the management of the food safety system.

For further products involving non-psychotic cannabinoids, please see **1.4 Key Challenges**.

1.3 Self-Regulation

Under the Portuguese legal framework, INFARMED is the sole competent authority for the authorisation and surveillance of the activities related to controlled substances. For cannabinoids used in general consumer products, such as food supplements with cannabis-derived ingredients, the competent authority is the DGAV. In the exercise of their surveillance powers, both INFARMED and the DGAV can be assisted by the Food and Economic Safety Authority – *Autoridade de Segurança Alimentar e Económica* (ASAE).

1.4 Key Challenges

Although Portugal has a sympathetic approach to medical cannabis and its regulatory framework is very well established, the use of medical cannabis is still small, namely due to the following reasons.

Availability of Cannabis-Derived Products in the Market

The market does not have a significant number of options. Currently, only one relevant medicine is sold in Portugal, and it is sold at a relatively high price, even though it is subject to co-payment by the State. For substances and preparations, there are some applications pending before INFARMED, but only one preparation is

currently being marketed and it is not subject to any co-payment.

Price

Another problematic aspect is the price. Even with co-payment by the State, the patient must still bear a significant part of the price of these products, which makes access to therapy difficult for a significant proportion of patients. There are certainly situations where doctors have proposed prescribing medical cannabis products to a patient, but such possibility is rejected by the patient since they do not have the necessary financial capacity to cover such treatment. In this regard, the authors believe that it is essential to treat products based on the cannabis plant for medical cannabis as “common” medicines, and to grant to those products the same level of co-payment granted to other medicines for the same or similar pathologies.

It should also be highlighted that Portuguese law allows for co-payment by the State of medicines, substances and preparations based on the cannabis plant for medicinal purposes. This can be a vicious circle, since, if the price is not affordable by patients, the industry has no motivation to invest in R&D and place new products in the market, thus worsening the lack of availability of products in the market, as described above.

Providing the Medical Class With Scientific Evidence

There are also big challenges with the medical class. Indeed, doctors have been expressing some reservations, mainly due to the lack of evidence on the use of medical cannabis in the treatment of pathologies. As the use of cannabis-derived products is dependent on prescription by doctors – in Portugal, a special medical prescription is necessary for the acquisition of medicinal cannabis products – it is essential to

provide doctors with scientific evidence giving them comfort and confidence when prescribing cannabis products to their patients.

The final decision on the prescription of a medical cannabis product belongs to the doctor, and they will only prescribe such a product – either a medicine, a substance or a preparation – if they trust the product. It is also important to provide health education on cannabis treatments to patients, eliminating the stigma that still exists regarding treatments based on these substances. The authors strongly believe that this aspect needs broad industry co-operation, both from the classical pharmaceutical industry and the medical cannabis industry.

Thus, there is still some work to be done for medical cannabis to be more accepted and used by doctors. This should be based on two main vectors:

- research and development (R&D); and
- the production or release of scientific evidence on the benefits and efficacy of medical cannabis products in human health.

Limitations on Doctors' Prescriptions of Medicines, Substances and Preparations Based on the Cannabis Plant

As referred to in **1.1 Source of Regulations**, through the issuance of Resolution No 11/CD/2019 of 31 January 2019, INFARMED has clearly established the therapeutical indications whereby medical cannabis products can be prescribed by doctors to their patients.

The Resolution also stipulates that substances and preparations based on the cannabis plant can only be prescribed when it is clear that conventional treatments with authorised medicines are not producing the expected effects or are

causing significant adverse effects to patients. This restriction means that doctors are obliged to start the treatment with common medicines, relegating medical cannabis to the last part of the treatment possibilities chain. This, combined with the lack of options available in the market and the price of such alternatives, is preventing access to these treatment technologies by patients and limiting the growth of the medical cannabis market.

Use of Cannabinoids in Cosmetics, Food and Food Supplements, and Veterinary Foods

The use of cannabinoids (CBD) in cosmetics, food and food supplements and veterinary foods is also a key challenge that market players are currently facing. EU member states have different approaches, with some allowing its use (ensuring that it comes from Cannabis Sativa L and contains less than 0.2% of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)), others ignoring its use, and the remaining jurisdictions banning its use in cosmetics, food and food supplements, and veterinary foods.

Portugal is currently in the latter group, restricting the use of CBD in these products. Regarding cosmetics, INFARMED – which is also the competent authority for cosmetic products – issued an informative letter highlighting that the use of CBD is not allowed in cosmetics as it is a substance coming from the cannabis plant, and is a controlled substance under the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs of 1961 and the Convention on Psychotropic Substances signed in Vienna in 1971.

Regarding food supplements, CBD is considered a “novel food” as per Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2015, and the DGAV does not allow the use of CBD in food supple-

ments based on this aspect. The same applies to food and veterinary foods. Until CBD is considered an authorised novel food – and there are ongoing applications for such purpose – it is not expected that the DGAV will change its position in the short term.

Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic

As in the majority of sectors, the COVID-19 pandemic highly impacted on the Portuguese medical cannabis market, taking the industry by surprise. During the height of the pandemic, stakeholders were waiting to see to what extent it would affect the medicinal cannabis market and considering how to overcome the new circumstances caused. Several projects were suspended and many applications for obtaining cultivation and manufacturing authorisations were dropped by their promoters. Notwithstanding, Portugal has continued to attract a lot of world players, who have maintained and strengthened their investments in the country.

Fortunately, the market has begun to show clear signs of recovery and there are a significant number of projects starting to resume their course, with several companies still investing in Portugal – including some of the biggest global companies in this sector.

The authors are confident that the above-mentioned challenges will be overcome, and believe that Portugal is the place to be in terms of the cannabis industry, and that prosperous times are ahead for this sector in Portugal.

1.5 Level of Regulation

The regulation of controlled substances in Portugal started in 1993, with the publication of DL 15/93, followed by RD 61/94 in 1994, which established the general framework applicable to controlled substances and, specifically, the

rules regarding the legal marketing of these substances.

Subsequently, in 2001, Portugal became the first European country to abolish all criminal penalties for drug consumption, under Law No 30/2000 of 29 November. Consuming drugs is now treated as an administrative offence, as long as the possessed quantity does not exceed the average for individual consumption for a ten-day period. If the quantity is above this ten-day limit, it is deemed to be for drug trafficking, and, depending on the crime and the type of controlled substance, is punishable with imprisonment of:

- four to 12 years;
- five to 15 years; and
- one to five years.

In 2018, Law 33/2018 was published; this was specifically intended to frame the activities related to the cannabis plant for medicinal purposes, and was subsequently settled by DL 8/2019. Finally, Ordinance 83/2021 regulated the practical aspects of the applications for authorisations for cultivation, manufacture, importation, exportation and wholesale of medicines, preparations and substances based on the cannabis plant for medicinal purposes.

As described, the regulatory regime for medical cannabis is comprehensive, covering all the stages of the value chain. Despite being very demanding, the Portuguese medical cannabis framework is clear and reasonable concerning the legal requirements applicable to these activities, allowing stakeholders to be well informed about the requirements to ensure a successful application for obtaining authorisation for the exercise of such activities.

In addition, Portuguese law has developed creative solutions to enable the growth of the medicinal cannabis market, while at the same time ensuring safety in the use of the relevant products and the protection of public health.

Portuguese law distinguishes between:

- MA, which is the authorisation for marketing a medicine, whether based on the cannabis plant or not, under the rules of DL 176/2006; and
- the authorisation for placement in the market – *Autorização de Colocação no Mercado (ACM)* – which is applicable only to preparations and substances based on the cannabis plant.

Considering that preparations and substances are less complex than common medicines, Portuguese law establishes a less demanding procedure in applying for an authorisation for marketing of such a preparation or substance. As opposed to medicines, when applying to obtain an ACM, the applicant must provide the following information:

- proof of compliance by the grower with Good Agriculture and Collection Practices (GACP);
- proof of compliance by the supplier of the plant with the applicable local laws of the country of origin for the cultivation of the cannabis plant for medical purposes;
- proof of compliance by the manufacturer of the substance or preparation with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), as well as the copy of the manufacturing authorisation;
- proof that the manufacturer of the preparation or substance is in compliance with the applicable laws of the country of origin, in the case of imported preparations or substances; and

- a dossier able to ensure the quality of the preparation or substance in accordance with the specific guiding standards of medicines and preparations based on plants, published by the European Medicines Agency and available on its website.

This is perhaps the most innovative solution created by Portuguese law for allowing access to cannabis-derived treatments. Although there are some challenges in ensuring full access by patients who need these kinds of therapies, the authors believe that the legal and regulatory framework is suitable for such purpose. For further developments on access issues, please see **3.1 Legal Elements Affecting Access to Medical Cannabis**.

1.6 Legal Risks

Activities related to medical cannabis are highly regulated in Portugal, involving very restrictive and concrete applicable rules. Stakeholders' compliance with the relevant provisions is closely monitored by INFARMED. Stakeholders must at all times ensure their compliance with all requirements established by law for the activities of cultivation, manufacturing, importation, exportation and wholesale of medicines, substances and preparations based on the cannabis plant for medical purposes. Any breach of compliance with such provisions can result in severe fines and, in a worst-case scenario, withdrawal of the authorisation to exercise the activity.

There are also numerous challenges concerning non-psychoactive cannabinoids, which are perhaps more difficult to overcome. As the use of these substances is not subject to European common regulation, there is significant room for different interpretations able to cause considerable damage to stakeholders. For further developments on non-psychoactive cannabinoids,

please see **3.2 Use of Non-controlled Cannabinoids in Food**.

1.7 Enforcement

The authorities responsible for enforcement of compliance are:

- INFARMED for medical cannabis; and
- the DGAV for foods and food supplements.

INFARMED's and the DGAV's decisions regarding medical cannabis and non-psychoactive cannabinoids may be challenged through administrative and/or judicial channels, within a certain period.

Individuals and entities who are affected by these decisions may appeal against them, namely on the grounds of breach of the law.

Criminal enforcement falls under the competence of the Public Prosecutor's Office, assisted by the Judiciary Police (*Polícia Judiciária*), the National Republican Guard (*Guarda Nacional Republicana*) and the Public Safety Police (*Polícia de Segurança Pública*), and is adjudicated in the general criminal courts.

For administrative offences, the applicable penalties vary according to the concrete violations. For infringements under DL 15/93 and RD 61/94, the main sanction for non-compliance is an economic sanction, which may be minor, severe or very severe, and punishable as per Decree Law No 9/2021 of 29 January, which establishes the Legal Framework for Economic Offences – *Regime Jurídico das Contraordenações Económicas* (RJCE).

Concerning DL 8/2019 specifically, violation of its provisions is considered an administrative offence, punishable with a fine of between

EUR1,500 and EUR3,740.98 in the case of natural persons and EUR3,000 and EUR44,891.81 in the case of legal persons. It is important to underline that both negligence and attempt are punishable, with the minimum and maximum amounts of the fine being reduced to half the amounts set out if negligence is proven (rather than intent).

Violations of the following are considered severe administrative offences, punishable as per the RJCE:

- rules applicable to the activities of cultivation, production, manufacturing, importation, exportation, transport, transit, distribution, commercialisation and possession;
- parallel regulation such as regards production quotas, exceeding crop, entities allowed to acquire cannabis plants, substances and preparations, registration obligations, delivery conditions, communications, reports, packaging and labelling set forth in DL 15/93; and
- the provisions of DR 61/94.

Under Article 18 of the RJCE, the fines for administrative offences are as follows.

For minor administrative offences:

- between EUR150 and EUR500 in the case of single persons;
- between EUR250 and EUR1,500 in the case of micro companies (ie, companies with less than ten employees);
- between EUR600 and EUR4,000 in the case of small companies (ie, companies with between ten and 49 employees);
- between EUR1,250 and EUR8,000 in the case of medium companies (ie, companies with between 50 and 249 employees); and

- between EUR1,500 and EUR12,000 in the case of big companies (ie, companies with 250 or more employees).

For severe administrative offences:

- between EUR650 and EUR1,500 in the case of single persons;
- between EUR1,700 and EUR3,000 in the case of micro companies (ie, companies with less than ten employees);
- between EUR4,000 and EUR8,000 in the case of small companies (ie, companies with between ten and 49 employees);
- between EUR8,000 and EUR16,000 in the case of medium companies (ie, companies with between 50 and 249 employees); and
- between EUR12,000 and EUR24,000 in the case of big companies (ie, companies with 250 or more employees).

For very severe administrative offences:

- between EUR2,000 and EUR7,500 in the case of single persons;
- between EUR3,000 and EUR11,500 in the case of micro companies (ie, companies with less than ten employees);
- between EUR8,000 and EUR30,000 in the case of small companies (ie, companies with between ten and 49 employees);
- between EUR16,000 and EUR60,000 in the case of medium companies (ie, companies with between 50 and 249 employees); and
- between EUR24,000 and EUR90,000 in the case of big companies (ie, companies with 250 or more employees).

In addition to economic sanctions, INFARMED may decide to apply ancillary actions, such as:

- the interdiction of the exercise of an activity for a period of time;
- the suspension of authorisations, licences or permits, or the loss of objects; and
- deprivation of the right to participate in public tenders.

2. Cross-Jurisdictional Issues

2.1 Cross-Jurisdictional Standards

As an EU member state, Portugal is subject to EU law and regulations. Considering that medical cannabis and cannabinoids are a recent field of activity, the member states have still not established a common basis for legislation in this area (as is the case with mainstream medicines, for example).

In the absence of common EU legislation, there have been some recent examples of different interpretations between member states on cannabis and cannabinoids regulation. Some of these conflicts have arrived at the European Court of Justice (ECJ) as they involved different interpretations regarding the free movement of goods and the internal market. Case C-663-18 (the Kanavape case) is a textbook case in which these matters were discussed in the ECJ. Given the current inconsistencies on cannabis and cannabinoids regulation across the EU, it is likely that cross-jurisdictional issues may arise in the near future.

3. Future Developments

3.1 Legal Elements Affecting Access to Medical Cannabis

The Portuguese medical cannabis market is extremely well regulated and well established, being sympathetic to stakeholders interested in

investing in such activity. Despite being a regulatory vanguard, there are still some obstacles to overcome regarding allowing access to medical cannabis products by those patients who need such products to treat their pathologies.

One issue involves the products available on the market, on which Portugal currently has only one preparation based on the cannabis plant (dry flower) and one medicine (Sativex), resulting in an extremely limited range on offer for patients. In fact, scientifically and medically, different pathologies need different solutions, and properly addressing this issue means increasing the range of products available for prescription by doctors.

Another element is the price. The products currently available in the market are very expensive and not economically accessible to a significant number of patients. Being a last-resort solution, as per the therapeutical indications approved by INFARMED, medical cannabis should be co-paid by the State in the same terms as other last-resort medicines used – for example, oncology treatments, which are fully supported by the State. Portuguese law already provides for this possibility, and it is now for the stakeholders to provide evidence of a positive cost-benefit relationship regarding medical cannabis, thus enabling increase of co-payment by the Portuguese State.

For further details, please see **1.4 Key Challenges**.

3.2 Use of Non-controlled Cannabinoids in Food

The interpretation of the Portuguese authorities is very restrictive on the use of cannabinoids in foods.

The DGAV – Portugal’s competent authority for food supplements – is very restrictive on the use of cannabinoids in food supplements. As CBD is considered a novel food under Regulation (EU) 2015/2283 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2015, the DGAV does not allow use of CBD in any food supplements. The only exception to this rule is the use of Cannabis Sativa L, usually referred to as hemp, provided it is registered in the EU’s Common Catalogue of Varieties of Agricultural Plant Species and its tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) content does not exceed 0.2%.

In addition, Portuguese authorities understand CBD to be a controlled substance, as per the United Nations Convention on Narcotics, and do not allow the use of CBD in any consumer products. The competent authority for general market surveillance is ASAE.

Any change in this approach by the Portuguese authorities will largely depend on the path followed by European authorities in this field. Portugal usually closely follows European standards and positions in regulatory matters, and an exception regarding this matter is not expected.

From an EU law perspective, there are also several aspects that should be clarified. The harmonisation of the inconsistent medicinal cannabis and cannabinoids regulations across EU member states is essential to allow the growth of this market in Europe.

As per the scientific evidence already in place, it is now essentially clear that non-psychoactive cannabinoids, such as CBD, are safe and have several beneficial effects. This should be enough to encourage European authorities to face reality and to create a reasonable framework for all stakeholders, thereby ensuring that all people

who need such substance have access to it, guaranteeing the quality of the products placed in the market and preventing the flourishing of a black market as a consequence of legal “grey zones”.

3.3 Decriminalisation or Recreational Regulation

As referred to in **1.5 Level of Regulation**, Portugal became the first European country to abolish all criminal penalties for drug consumption, under Law No 30/2000 of 29 November; since then, Portugal has faced drug dependence as a public health problem and not as a legal or criminal problem.

Beyond such decriminalisation, Portugal has put in place initiatives to help drug-dependent individuals overcome their dependence through alternative drug programmes managed by public health authorities, such as involving the provision of methadone to heroin dependents who are in the process of recovery.

Indeed, the decriminalisation of drug consumption was a further step forwards in terms of Portuguese drug politics. In 1977, Portugal started to treat drug addicts with methadone as a substitute for heroin. This programme has had significant success, with an appreciable number of recuperations for drug-addicted persons.

Another initiative in 1993 was the syringe-exchange programme, through which drug dependents could replace used syringes with sterilised new ones. This exchange was made in community pharmacies, which entered into a protocol with the Ministry of Health and the National AIDS Commission. Consequently, Portugal achieved a massive reduction in infections by HIV, whose main transition vehicle was the sharing of syringes between drug dependents.

Considering the close connection between drug dependents with other social issues, such as prostitution and homelessness, this was the perfect environment for the spreading of the virus.

Regarding “recreational” cannabis regulation, the Portuguese Parliament has commenced discussions on the liberalisation of cannabis for personal use. The relevant bills were submitted by the parties *Bloco de Esquerda* (Left Block) and *Iniciativa Liberal* (Liberal Initiative) in 2021, and aim to allow the consumption of recreational cannabis, without prescription, under certain circumstances. Although the bills were submitted, they have not yet been voted on, mainly due to the political crisis in Portugal in the latter months of 2021.

In 2023, a new draft law allowing the adult use of cannabis was submitted by *Iniciativa Liberal*; its discussion in Parliament is yet to be scheduled.

Portuguese society is currently undertaking a wide-ranging discussion on the allowing of recreational cannabis for personal use; these discussions are now, in the authors’ opinion, clearly moving towards a relative consensus. Considering the composition of the Parliament following the general election in January 2022, it is likely that recreational cannabis for personal use will be permitted and regulated in the short- to medium-term.

As such, it is essential to develop a reasonable framework for this new reality and to avoid its intermingling with the framework for medical cannabis. Medical cannabis and recreational cannabis have totally different targets and functions, and it is essential to clearly define and distinguish between these two fields of activity.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDES

Chambers Global Practice Guides bring you up-to-date, expert legal commentary on the main practice areas from around the globe. Focusing on the practical legal issues affecting businesses, the guides enable readers to compare legislation and procedure and read trend forecasts from legal experts from across key jurisdictions.

To find out more information about how we select contributors, email Katie.Burrington@chambers.com